

Introduction to Noncommutative Geometry

Part 2: Spectral Triples

Raphaël Ponge

Seoul National University & UC Berkeley
www.math.snu.ac.kr/~ponge

UC Berkeley, April 1 & 8, 2015

Overview of Noncommutative Geometry

Classical

Riemannian Manifold (M, g)

Vector Bundle E over M

$$\text{ind } \mathcal{D}_{\nabla E}$$

de Rham Homology/Cohomology

Atiyah-Singer Index Formula
$$\text{ind } \mathcal{D}_{\nabla E} = \int \hat{A}(R^M) \wedge \text{Ch}(F^E)$$

Local Index Theorem

NCG

Spectral Triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$

Projective Module \mathcal{E} over \mathcal{A}
 $\mathcal{E} = e\mathcal{A}^q, \quad e \in M_q(\mathcal{A}), \quad e^2 = e$

$$\text{ind } D_{\nabla \mathcal{E}}$$

Cyclic Cohomology/Homology

Connes-Chern Character $\text{Ch}(D)$
$$\text{ind } D_{\nabla \mathcal{E}} = \langle \text{Ch}(D), \text{Ch}(\mathcal{E}) \rangle$$

CM cocycle

Definition (Connes-Moscovici)

A **spectral triple** $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ consists of

- 1 A \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}^-$.
- 2 A $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} represented in \mathcal{H} .
- 3 A selfadjoint unbounded operator D on \mathcal{H} such that
 - 1 D maps \mathcal{H}^\pm to \mathcal{H}^\mp .
 - 2 $(D \pm i)^{-1}$ is compact.
 - 3 $[D, a]$ is bounded for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Remark

When $\mathcal{H}^- \neq \{0\}$, we say that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is an **even** spectral triple. Otherwise we say that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is an **odd** spectral triple

Example

- (M^n, g) compact Riemannian spin manifold (n even) with spinor bundle $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}^-$.
- $\mathcal{D}_g : C^\infty(M, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \mathcal{S})$ is the Dirac operator of (M, g) .
- $C^\infty(M)$ acts by multiplication on $L^2_g(M, \mathcal{S})$.

Then $(C^\infty(M), L^2(M, \mathcal{S}), \mathcal{D}_g)$ is a **spectral triple**.

Remark

We also get spectral triples by taking

- $\mathcal{H} = L^2(M, \Lambda^\bullet T^*M)$ and $D = d + d^*$.
- $\mathcal{H} = L^2(M, \Lambda^{0,\bullet} T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M)$ and $D = \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*$ (when M is a complex manifold).

Proposition (Connes)

Let $d(x, y)$ be the Riemannian distance of (M, g) . Then

$$d(x, y) = \inf \left\{ |f(x) - f(y)|; \|[D_g, f]\| \leq 1 \right\} \quad \forall x, y \in M.$$

Remark

Given a general spectral triple, we get a metric on the space of **states** of \mathcal{A} ,

$$d(\varphi, \psi) := \inf \left\{ |\varphi(a) - \psi(a)|; \|[D, a]\| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The above formulas were a main impetus for Rieffel's **quantum metric spaces**.

Noncommutative Torus

Setup

- Given $\theta \in [0, 1)$, we let \mathbb{Z} acts on $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{S}^1$ by

$$k \cdot z = e^{2i\pi\theta k} z, \quad z \in \mathbb{T}, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This is the action generated by the **rotation** of angle $2\pi\theta$.

Fact

When $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$, the orbits of the action are **dense**. (In this case $\theta\mathbb{Z} + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ is a dense subgroup of \mathbb{R} .)

Example

Plots of orbit points $z_k = e^{2i\pi\theta k} \cdot 1$ with $\theta = 1/2\pi$ and $k = 0, \dots, p$ for increasing values of $p = 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 150, \dots$

Definition

$C^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \rtimes_\theta \mathbb{Z}$ is $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}$ with product and involution,

$$\begin{aligned}(f_1 \otimes k_1)(f_2 \otimes k_2) &= f_1(k_1 \cdot f_2) \otimes (k_1 + k_2), \\ (f \otimes k)^* &= \bar{f} \otimes k,\end{aligned}$$

where $(k \cdot f)(z) = f(e^{-2i\pi\theta} z)$.

Lemma (Fourier Series Decomposition in $C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$)

Let $f(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m z^m \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$. Then TFAE:

- 1 $f(z) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$.
- 2 $(a_m) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})$,

where $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}) := \{(a_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{C}; |a_m| = O(|m|^{-N}) \ \forall N \geq 1\}$.

Proposition

Define operators U and V of $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ by

$$(U\xi)(z) = z\xi(z) \quad \text{and} \quad (V\xi)(z) = \xi(e^{-2i\pi\theta}z) \quad \forall \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}).$$

- ① U and V are *unitary* operators such that

$$VU = e^{-2i\pi\theta}UV.$$

- ② The map $f \otimes k \rightarrow f(U)V^k$ yields an *algebra isomorphism*,

$$C^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \rtimes_\theta \mathbb{Z} \simeq \left\{ \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{|k| < N} a_{m,k} U^m V^k; (a_{m,k}) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}) \forall k \right\}.$$

The Noncommutative Torus

Definition

The **noncommutative torus** is the algebra,

$$\mathcal{A}_\theta = \left\{ \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{m,n} U^m V^n; (a_{m,n}) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^2) \right\} \subset \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{T})).$$

Remarks

- 1 \mathcal{A}_θ contains the crossed-product algebras $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \rtimes_\theta \mathbb{Z}$.
- 2 The closure of \mathcal{A}_θ in $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$ is also called noncommutative torus. (This is a C^* -algebra.)

Example

For $\theta = 0$, we have the algebra isomorphism,

$$\mathcal{A}_\theta \ni \sum a_{m,n} U^m V^n \longrightarrow \sum a_{m,n} z^m w^n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2).$$

Proposition

Define $\tau_0 : \mathcal{A}_\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\tau_0 \left(\sum a_{m,n} U^m V^n \right) = a_{0,0}.$$

Then τ_0 is the *unique trace* on \mathcal{A}_θ such that $\tau_0(1) = 1$.

Remark

If $e \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$ is a *Powers-Rieffel idempotent*, then $\tau_0(e) = \theta$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{A}_\theta \not\cong \mathcal{A}_{\theta'} \quad \text{when } \theta \neq \theta'.$$

The Basic Derivations

Proposition

For $j = 1, 2$ define $\delta_j : \mathcal{A}_\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\theta$ by

$$\delta_1(U^m V^n) = mU^m V^n \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_2(U^m V^n) = nU^m V^n.$$

Then δ_1 and δ_2 are *derivations* of the algebra \mathcal{A}_θ , i.e.,

$$\delta_j(ab) = \delta_j(a)b + a\delta_j(b) \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}_\theta.$$

Remarks

- 1 δ_1 and δ_2 are called the *basic derivations* of \mathcal{A}_θ .
- 2 For $\theta = 0$, under

$$\mathcal{A}_0 \simeq C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2) \simeq \left\{ \sum a_{m,n} e^{2im\pi x} e^{2in\pi y} \right\},$$

the derivations δ_1 and δ_2 correspond to

$$(2i\pi)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad \text{and} \quad (2i\pi)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Holomorphic Structures on \mathcal{A}_θ

Fact

Up to the action of the modular group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, the holomorphic structures on \mathbb{T}^2 are parametrized by complex numbers τ , $\Im\tau > 0$, and the associate holomorphic differentials,

$$\partial_Z = \partial_x + \bar{\tau}^{-1}\partial_y, \quad Z = (2\pi)^{-1}(x + \tau y).$$

Definition

A **holomorphic structure** on \mathcal{A}_θ is given by $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Im\tau > 0$, and the associate **holomorphic derivation**,

$$\partial = \delta_1 + \bar{\tau}\delta_2.$$

Remark

In what follows we shall take $\tau = i = \sqrt{-1}$.

The Hilbert Space \mathcal{H}_0

Lemma

The canonical trace τ_0 defines an *inner-product* on \mathcal{A}_θ by

$$\langle a, b \rangle_0 := \tau_0(b^* a) \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}_\theta.$$

Remark

The family $\{U^m V^n\}$ is *orthonormal* with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$.

Definition

The Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_0 is the *completion* of \mathcal{A}_θ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$.

Remarks

- 1 The algebra \mathcal{A}_θ acts on \mathcal{H}_0 by *left-multiplication* (left-regular representation).
- 2 There is also a *right-action* (right-regular representation), and so \mathcal{H} is an \mathcal{A}_θ -*bimodule*.

Definition

- 1 The space of **holomorphic 1-forms** is

$$\mathcal{A}_\theta^{1,0} = \text{Span} \{a\partial(b); a, b \in \mathcal{A}_\theta\}.$$

- 2 $\mathcal{H}^{1,0}$ is the completion of \mathcal{A}_θ w.r.t. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$.

Remarks

- 1 $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{1,0}$ is an \mathcal{A}_θ -**bimodule**, and so is $\mathcal{H}^{1,0}$.
- 2 The derivation ∂ maps \mathcal{A}_θ to $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{1,0}$. We shall also denote by ∂ its **closure** as an unbounded operator from \mathcal{H}_0 to $\mathcal{H}^{1,0}$.

Theorem (Connes)

The triple $(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is a *spectral triple*, where

- \mathcal{H} is the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}^{1,0}$.
- \mathcal{A}_θ is represented in \mathcal{H} by *left-multiplication* operators.
- D is the unbounded operator of $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}^{1,0}$ to itself given by

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial^* \\ \partial & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where ∂^* is the *adjoint* of ∂ .

Remark

The operator D is *isospectral* to the operator $\partial + \partial^*$ on the ordinary torus \mathbb{T}^2 .

Spectral Triples over NC Tori

Definition

The **opposite algebra** \mathcal{A}_θ° has same underlying vector space structure as \mathcal{A}_θ and **opposite product**,

$$a \cdot^\circ b := ba \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^\circ.$$

Remark

The right-actions of \mathcal{A}_θ on \mathcal{H}_0 and $\mathcal{H}^{1,0}$ give rise to left-actions of \mathcal{A}_θ° . Therefore, we may represent \mathcal{A}_θ° by **right-multiplication** operators on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}^{1,0}$.

Theorem (Connes)

*The triple $(\mathcal{A}_\theta^\circ, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is a **spectral triple** as well.*

Remark

The spectral triples $(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \mathcal{H}, D)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ satisfy some form of **Poincaré duality** in NCG (cf. Connes' book).

Definition

- 1 **Conformal geometry** is the geometry up to angle-preserving transformations.
- 2 Two metrics g_1 and g_2 are **conformally equivalent** when

$$g_2 = k^{-2}g_1 \quad \text{for some } k \in C^\infty(M), k > 0.$$

Conformal Geometry



Conformal Changes of Metrics

Setup

- $(C^\infty(M), L^2_g(M, \mathcal{F}), \mathcal{D}_g)$ is a Dirac spectral triple.
- Conformal change of metric: $\hat{g} = k^{-2}g$, $k \in C^\infty(M)$, $k > 0$.

Observation

Define $U : L^2_g(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow L^2_{\hat{g}}(M, \mathcal{F})$ be defined by

$$Uf = k^{\frac{n}{2}}\xi \quad \forall f \in L^2_g(M, \mathcal{F}).$$

Then U is a unitary operator and intertwines the spectral triples

$$\left(C^\infty(M), L^2_{\hat{g}}(M, \mathcal{F}), \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(C^\infty(M), L^2_g(M, \mathcal{F}), \sqrt{k}\mathcal{D}_g\sqrt{k}\right).$$

In particular,

$$U\mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}}U^* = \sqrt{k}\mathcal{D}_g\sqrt{k}.$$

Definition (Connes-Moscovici)

A **twisted spectral triple** $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)_\sigma$ consists of

- 1 A \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}^-$.
- 2 An involutive algebra \mathcal{A} represented in \mathcal{H} **together with an automorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $\sigma(a)^* = \sigma^{-1}(a^*)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.**
- 3 A selfadjoint unbounded operator D on \mathcal{H} such that
 - 1 D maps \mathcal{H}^\pm to \mathcal{H}^\mp .
 - 2 $(D \pm i)^{-1}$ is compact.
 - 3 $[D, a]_\sigma := Da - \sigma(a)D$ is bounded for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem (Connes-Moscovici)

Consider the following:

- An ordinary spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$.
- A *positive* element $k \in \mathcal{A}$ with associated inner automorphism $\sigma(a) = k^2 a k^{-2}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Then $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, kDk)_\sigma$ is a *twisted spectral triple*.

Theorem (RP+H. Wang '15)

Consider the following:

- An *ordinary* spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}^-, D)$.
- *Positive* elements $k^\pm \in \mathcal{A}$ with $k^+k^- = k^-k^+$ and associated inner automorphisms $\sigma^\pm(a) = k^\pm a (k^\pm)^{-1}$.
- A positive even operator $\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^- \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\omega^\pm a = \sigma^\pm(a) \omega^\pm \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Set $k = k^+k^-$ and $\sigma(a) = kak^{-1}$. Then $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \omega D \omega)_\sigma$ is a *twisted spectral triple*.

Conformal Dirac Spectral Triple

Setup

- 1 M^n **compact spin** (oriented) **manifold** (n even).
- 2 \mathcal{C} is a **conformal structure** on M , i.e., a conformal class of metrics.
- 3 G is a group of **diffeomorphisms preserving** \mathcal{C} and the spin structure. Thus, given any metric $g \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\phi \in G$,

$$\phi_*g = k_\phi^{-2}g \text{ with } k_\phi \in C^\infty(M), k_\phi > 0.$$

- 4 $C^\infty(M) \rtimes G$ **crossed-product algebra**, i.e., $C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}G$ with product and involution,

$$\begin{aligned}(f_1 \otimes \phi_1)(f_2 \otimes \phi_2) &= f_1(f_2 \circ \phi_1^{-1}) \otimes \phi_1\phi_2, \\ (f \otimes \phi)^* &= \bar{f} \otimes \phi^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Conformal Dirac Spectral Triple

Lemma (Connes-Moscovici '08)

For $\phi \in G$ define $U_\phi : L_g^2(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow L_g^2(M, \mathcal{F})$ by

$$U_\phi \xi = k_\phi^{-\frac{n}{2}} \phi_* \xi \quad \forall \xi \in L_g^2(M, \mathcal{F}).$$

Then U_ϕ is a *unitary operator*, and

$$U_\phi \mathcal{D}_g U_\phi^* = \sqrt{k_\phi} \mathcal{D}_g \sqrt{k_\phi}.$$

Theorem (Connes-Moscovici '08)

The datum of any metric $g \in \mathcal{C}$ defines a *twisted spectral triple* $(C^\infty(M) \rtimes G, L_g^2(M, \mathcal{F}), \mathcal{D}_g)_{\sigma_g}$ given by

- 1 The Dirac operator \mathcal{D}_g associated with g .
- 2 The representation $f \otimes \phi \rightarrow fU_\phi$ of $C^\infty(M) \rtimes G$ in $L_g^2(M, \mathcal{F})$.
- 3 The automorphism $\sigma_g(fU_\phi) := k_\phi^{-1} fU_\phi$.

Definition

A **conformal weight** on \mathcal{A}_θ is of the form,

$$\varphi(a) = \tau_0(ak^{-2}), \quad k \in \mathcal{A}_\theta, \quad k > 0.$$

We call k the **Weyl factor** of φ .

Fact

A conformal weight defines an **inner product** on \mathcal{A}_θ by

$$\langle a, b \rangle_\varphi := \varphi(b^*a) = \tau_0(b^*ak^{-2}), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}_\theta.$$

Theorem (Connes-Tretkoff)

Consider the following:

- The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\varphi := \mathcal{H}_\varphi^0 \oplus \mathcal{H}^{1,0}$, where \mathcal{H}_φ^0 is the completion of \mathcal{A}_θ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varphi$.
- The operator $D_\varphi := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_\varphi^* \\ \partial & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where ∂_φ^* is the adjoint of ∂ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varphi$.
- The representation $a \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} ((k^{-1}ak)^\circ & 0 \\ 0 & a^\circ \end{pmatrix}$ of \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{H}_φ , where $^\circ$ denotes the right-action.
- The inner automorphism $\sigma(a) = k^{-1}ak$ of \mathcal{A}_θ .

Then $(\mathcal{A}_\theta^\circ, \mathcal{H}_\varphi, D_\varphi)_\sigma$ is a **twisted spectral triple**.

Remark

$(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \mathcal{H}_\varphi, D_\varphi)$ is an **ordinary spectral triple**.

Twisted Spectral Triples on NC Tori

Lemma (Connes-Tretkoff)

The right-multiplication by k on \mathcal{A}_θ uniquely extends to a *unitary operator* $W_0 : \mathcal{H}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\varphi^0$.

Proposition

Define

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} W_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_\varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = \begin{pmatrix} k^0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}),$$

Then W is a *unitary operator* and *intertwines* the triples

$$(\mathcal{A}_\theta^0, \mathcal{H}, \omega D \omega)_\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{A}_\theta^0, \mathcal{H}_\varphi, D_\varphi)_\sigma.$$

Corollary

$(\mathcal{A}_\theta^0, \mathcal{H}_\varphi, D_\varphi)_\sigma$ is a *twisted spectral triple*.

Gauss-Bonnet Theorem for NC Tori

Theorem (Gauss-Bonnet Theorem)

Let (Σ, g) be a compact *Riemann surface*. Define

$$\zeta(\Delta_g; 0) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \text{Tr} \Delta_g^{-s}.$$

Then

$$\zeta(\Delta_g; 0) + 1 = \frac{1}{12\pi} \int_M \kappa(x) \sqrt{g(x)} dx = \frac{1}{16} \chi(\Sigma),$$

where $\chi(\Sigma)$ is the *Euler characteristic* and $\kappa(x)$ the *scalar curvature*. In particular $\zeta(\Delta_g; 0)$ is a *topological invariant* and a *conformal invariant*.

Theorem (Connes-Tretkoff)

Set $\Delta_\varphi = \partial_\varphi^* \partial$. Then the value of $\zeta(\Delta_\varphi; 0)$ is *independent* of the choice of the *conformal weight* φ , and hence is a *conformal invariant*.

Conjecture (Gihyun Lee + Hyun-su Ha + RP)

Let (g_{ij}) be a Riemannian metric on \mathcal{A}_θ (i.e., a positive element of $M_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta)$) and Δ_g the associated Laplacian. Then the value of $\zeta(\Delta_g; 0)$ is *independent* of the choice of g , and hence is a *topological invariant*.